







 A 500 km Impact!



Feb 15, 2013 over Chelyabinsk Russia a huge fireball. A 41,000 mph it was brighter than the Sun! ~20 meters in size and 10,000 tons, most of the energy absorbed in the atmosphere-- 20-30 times Hiroshima!

























Ì





How far are the Aliens:  $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^$  N>5200

N<5200



**Talking Points** 

64000 needed for life to be that close.. 300 years for them to hear from us and still 300 years to hear back from them! That's 600 years total.

- Ι. We assumed uniform density of civilizations.
  - Underweights the galactic center, but maybe that's okay- supernovae. .
- 2. Distance away is the average.
  - . Could be closer, but unlikely to be much closer.
- Note that r is better defined than N. R depends on N or N  $^{1/2}$  or N 3.

  - If we are wrong in N by a factor of 100, then only off in r by factors of 10 or 4, respectively. ٠
- 4. For communication, it may be that the distance there and back is longer than L.

r is an average distance, should make a PDF

Uniform density of civilizations

r is better defined than N

Cylindrical Galaxy

Uniform stellar density

Assumptions:

- r ~ N<sup>1/2</sup> (Low N)
- $r \sim N^{1/3}$  (High N)

What if communication time is longer than L?



- How many stars in our distance?
- Let's use an average of I star/pc<sup>3</sup>
- 988 light years is 309 parsecs
- So  $4/3 \pi (309)^3 = 123M$  stars
- But, about 50% of stars are multiple, so we need to correct for that.
- 123M + 123M/2 = 185 Million stars!!!!!



Ì

These underweight

Galactic Center

Ì

3.2 parsecs per light year

#### How to Communicate?

- We are relatively a young civilization, with radio technology for only a hundred years.
- Right now, we are mostly a passive "lurker" civilization.
- Okay, so what will an advanced civilization use?
- Hard to figure out.. They are aliens!



Ì

Ì

# Can you hear me?

Arecibo

Message

Long distance relationship?

At best light travel is ~4 years

8 years round trip! What do you say?

Morse

Message (1962)



Cosmic Call I (1999)

Teen Age Message (2001) Cosmic Call 2 (2003) A Message from Earth (2008)

Across the Universe (2008)

Hello from Earth (2009) Wow! Reply (2012) First message to ET: MIR, LENIN, SSSR (toward HD 131336). Messages set out irregularly—- not sending out specific messages every day. Too expensive, and telescopes are better used for astronomy.

Active SETI is a big question.. not a clear answer yet







Does ET Love Lucy?

- One solution is to look for unintentional leakage signals.
- We can not currently detect this, but maybe other civilizations can.
- What leakage do we have? TV, FM Radio, radar (military)
- Television transmission exceeds 10<sup>7</sup> watts (10 MW).





Ì

Radio is probably best. Dust extinction is reduced. Lower frequency means less energy/photon, so cheaper. There is a natural dip from about 1 to 10 GHz in the radio where the atmosphere and the galaxy are the quietest.

Still, 1–100 GHz or even 1–10 GHz is a lot of frequency to search. Remember, we have to tune to the proper "radio station". What's the right channel size? Many argue that we should use 1 Hz channels, then in the 1–10 GHz band there are  $9 \times 10$  channels! Is there a magic frequency that advanced civilizations would choose?

ET would be unable to really distinguish individual stations due to the rotation of the Earth. To detect early carrier signals at 50 lyrs, need 3000 acres of antenna. To watch the TV show, need antenna the size of Colorado. It is possible? Still Earth would produce a regular 24 hour pattern for the last 60 years. Military radar is more promising. Highly focused and powerful. Only requires a 1000 foot antenna.

#### **Does ET Love Lucy?**

- We've had leakage signals for ~60 years.
- As radio travels at speed of light, our leakage signals have reached the nearest 20,000 stars!
- Still, this is way too few for most estimates.
- It is unlikely that a civilization is within 60 lyrs.  $\rightarrow N_{required} = 10^7$
- So probably ET does not love Lucy, at least yet.



Ì



SETI & HOME

In 1973 by F. Dixon and D. Cole. Used Ohio State radio telescope for a continuous survey of sky. Not steerable- so cuts a swath through the sky: A Sky Survey Searched overhead for signals. 1.42 GHz with 50 channels of 10 kHz. But not just looking at stars. Could only detect extremely strong transmissions. Land was sold to a golf course development. Aug. 15, 1977, Jerry Ehman was looking through the data when he recorded the Wow! signal. A major signal in the telescope- $30\sigma$  detection! Stayed around for >72 seconds. Unlikely to be noise, but never seen again.

"Even if it were intelligent beings sending a signal, they'd do it far more than once."

AAT has money problems





"In 1993, Nevada Democratic Senator Richard Bryan successfully introduced an amendment that eliminated all funding for the NASA SETI program. The cost of the program was less than 0.1% of NASA's annual budget, amounting to about a nickel per taxpayer per year. The Senator cited budget pressures as his reason for ending NASA's involvement with SETI." Just beginning to recover from this— so nearly all SETI work has been privately funded.

He said: "The Great Martian Chase may finally come to an end. As of today millions have been spent and we have yet to bag a single little green fellow. Not a single Martian has said take me to your leader, and not a single flying saucer has applied for FAA approval."





<section-header>

# Rocket Science

Conservation of momentum High Exhaust Velocity High Mass Ejected



Rocket Chair of with laser countries

Ì

So either use a big mass at lower velocity or a small mass with high velocity

The distances are freaky huge! Nearest star is 4.3 ly away or around 4 x 10 km! 40,000,000,000,000 km! 40 TRILLION km!!! Voyager (our fastest spacecraft to date) would take 100,000 years

	Rocket Man	Ì
Four C	Quantities of Interest	
k	I. V <sub>e</sub> : the exhaust velocity. Bigger is better!	
	2. Thrust: force exerted by the exhaust. ${}^{\rm Bigger \ is \ better!} F = ma = \frac{d(M_{Total}V_e)}{dt}$	
	3. Mass Ratio: payload size Close to unity! $R_M = \frac{M_{Fuel} + M_{Payload}}{M_{Payload}}$	
	4. Specific Impulse: burn time $\begin{array}{l} {}^{\text{Bigger is better!}} \\ {\rm s.i.} = \frac{{\rm Thrust}}{{\rm Burn \ Rate}} = \frac{d(M_{Total}V_e)}{d(M_{Fuel})} \end{array}$	
	35	



### What's the Problem?

Multistage Rockets are wasteful

Recycling?

Ì

Multistage Rockets can have a huge mass ratio!



Vanguard I (Launched March 17, 1958) Still in orbit Payload = 1.4 kg Rocket Mass = 36,000 kg

$$R_M = \frac{36000 + 1.4}{1.4} \approx 2.6 \times 10^4 \gg 1$$

Practical Space exploration impossible in this manner





Propellent: What is ejected out the back!

Chemical: Burn fuel, exhaust is propellent Fuel Efficiency: one billionth of the total mass Nuclear: Reactor heats propellent Fission Efficiency: one thousandth of the total mass Fusion Efficiency: one hundredth of the total mass Ion: Ionize fuel, eject with electric fields Antimatter: Thrust from matter-antimatter annihilation





## Shuttle Launch

Ì



Shuttle Atlantis Final Launch

Fuel can be "monomethyl hydrazine" (MMH) and the oxidizer is "nitrogen tetroxide" (N O ).  $^{2}$ 

1.



# **Fusion Rockets**

Project Daedalus

Continuation of Project Orion

British Interplanetary Society

Robotic Flyby of Barnard's Star 2nd closest star (~6ly) Need .12 c to get there in 50 years

Disperse science payload at destination

Transmit information for 6-9 years. One way ticket!



Ì

### **Fusion Rockets**

Nuclear Fusion via pulsed power



By-products are He and H nuclei Positively charged so can be vented as exhaust Reasonably efficient (5 MeV)

But <sup>3</sup>He is rare on Earth Jupiter's atmosp



Project Orion: You dropped hydrogen bombs wrapped in a hydrogen rich jacket out the rear of a massive plate. Detonate 60 meters away, and ride the blast-- an atomic pogo stick. 0.1 kton bomb every second for take off, eventually tapering to one 20 kton bomb every 10 sec.

- Daedalus would accelerate for 4 years, then coast for 50 years to reach Barnard's star.
- At blastoff the mass would be 54,000 tons, of which 50,000 would be fuel.
  - Built in space though. ٠
- That's an R = 12.
- The fuel would be in pellets that enter the reaction chamber 250/sec.
- Sophisticated robots needed for repair.
- For dust erosion at 0.12c, requires a beryllium erosion shield 7mm thick and 55 meters in diameter.
- Once it reached Barnard's star, it would disperse science payload that would study the system.
- Would transmit back to Earth for 6-9 years.
- So does not require a return trip.



#### Dawn spacecraft: Launched Sep. 2007

3 Heritage DS1 engines the size of basketballs

Vesta (2011) & Ceres (2015)

Thurst of 90 N (Weight of paper) 0-60 mph in four days! 5 years: 23,000 mph Powered by 10 kw solar array Vesta (275 kg Xe) & Ceres (110 kg Xe)



Ion more efficient than chemical Can achieve ten times greater velocity than Chemical But not useful for quick accelerations



4.5 km/s = 10,000 mph Darth Vader's Tie uses Ion Drive.

s.i. = 3100 s Dawn spent 270 days using less than 72 kg of fuel to change its velocity by 1.81 km/s (4050 mph)!

Propelled by three DS1 heritage xenon ion thrusters (firing only one at a time).

Can be done by making electromagnetic "bottle" that confines particles with electric and magnetic force fields

In an antimatter rocket, a dose of antihydrogen would mix with an equal amount of hydrogen in a combustion chamber. The mutual annihilation of a half pound of each, for instance, would unleash more energy than a 10-megaton hydrogen bomb, along with a shower of subatomic particles called pions and muons. These particles, confined within a magnetic nozzle similar to the type necessary for a fission rocket, would fly out the back at one-third of the speed of light. That fast exhaust would translate to a top speed of 66 percent of the speed of light. "This is by far the most powerful rocket we can make," Frisbee says.

A two-stage antimatter rocket to Alpha Centauri would need some 900,000 tons of fuel and would arrive in about 41 years. A four-stage version (two to speed up, two to slow down) on a longer voyage would show the advantages of antimatter to better effect. According to Frisbee's calculations, it would need 38 million tons of antimatter fuel, but it would cut the trip to 55 Cancri, 41 light-years away, to an almost manageable 130 Earth years. The same trip would take 400 years using a fusion engine.



#### All made up at this time, but interesting...

In an antimatter rocket, a dose of antihydrogen would mix with an equal amount of hydrogen in a combustion chamber. The mutual annihilation of a half pound of each, for instance, would unleash more energy than a 10-megaton hydrogen bomb, along with a shower of subatomic particles called pions and muons. These particles, confined within a magnetic nozzle similar to the type necessary for a fission rocket, would fly out the back at one-third of the speed of light. That fast exhaust would translate to a top speed of 66 percent of the speed of light. "This is by far the most powerful rocket we can make," Frisbee says.

A two-stage antimatter rocket to Alpha Centauri would need some 900,000 tons of fuel and would arrive in about 41 years. A four-stage version (two to speed up, two to slow down) on a longer voyage would show the advantages of antimatter to better effect. According to Frisbee's calculations, it would need 38 million tons of antimatter fuel, but it would cut the trip to 55 Cancri, 41 light-years away, to an almost manageable 130 Earth years. The same trip would take 400 years using a fusion engine.

#### We have a Problem For interstellar trip, we need a lot of fuel! Mercury Capsule: Lightest US manned spacecraft Weight: ~ 1300 kg Imagine a roundtrip to Alpha Centauri

40,000 Trillion km Require over *50 million kg* of antimatter fuel À

The situation is even worse for more realistic manned spacecraft.



Must be accelerated via other means first Interstellar Space ~I H atom/cm<sup>3</sup> This digital image shows a venerable design for an interstellar vehicle. Magnetic fields would collect stray hydrogen atoms and draw them into the funnel in the front. There they would be compressed and used to fuel a fusion reaction to propel the starship at relativistic speeds. The ship would have to be accelerated to a high speed by some other means before the ramjet could even begin to work. Also H not the best option for fusion— not H3+







Ì

You are at the back of a jet traveling at 400 mph. You shine a laser toward your friend in first class. What speed does your friend measure for the laser light?	3
a) c+400 mph	
b) c-400 mph	
c) c	
d) c/400 mph	
e) c/(c <sup>2</sup> -400 <sup>2</sup> ) mph	
Where c is the speed of light.	
iClicker	
"	