Astronomy 330



This class (Lecture 21): Evolution of World View

Next Class: Lifetime

HW #9 due on Wednesday!

Music: Concerning the UFO Sighting near Highland, Illinois – Sufjan Stevens

Question

Exam 2 was

- a) too easy. It didn't challenge me. I felt like I wasted time studying for it.
- b) too hard.
- c) about the appropriate or expected level.
- d) too easy, but I like my exams like that.
- e) okay, but it didn't have enough interpretative dance components.

Exam 2



Grade Histogram [0.0, 7.0) [7.0, 14.0] [14.0, 21.0) [21.0, 28.0] [28.0, 35.0) [35.0, 42.0] [42.0,49.0) [49.0, 56.0] [56.0, 63.0 [63.0, 70.0 170.0.77.0 [77.0.84.0 [84.0, 91.0 [91.0, 98.0 [98.0, 105.0 [105.0] 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 Frequency

Paper Rough Draft

Ì

- Worth 1% of your grade, but really worth more.
- Due tomorrow!

• Good job

again!

• Average was

median of 88%!

replace grade of

this exam with

Section 2 of

final if that is

• On final, I will

83% and

grade on

higher.

- Beginning of discussion class, else considered late.
- Should pretty much be the final paper.
- Will be looking for scope, ease-of-read, scientific reasoning, proper citation, and general style.
- 6 to 8 pages double-spaced 12-point font, not including references.

Paper Rough Draft

- <u>Mars is a planet without an overzealous monkey</u> <u>population (Holt et al. 2000; James & Mann 2006;</u> <u>Walker 2007; Wikipedia: Mars).</u>
 - <u>I expect to see a few refs per page!</u>
- Holt, W., Smith, E., Rowe, T., & Jones, A. B. 2000, The Astronomical Almanac for the Year 1994, Vol. 2 (2nd ed.; Washington, DC: GPO)
- Smith, A. B., Thomas, J. R., Major, W., & Peebles, P. J. E. 2006, Astrophysics Journal, 450, 12
- Wikipedia: Mars, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mars, Accessed: March 25, 2010, Updated: March 24, 2010

Outline



- Worldview: do we think aliens may be out there?
- What is f_c ?



Copernican Revolutions

- 1. We are not the center of the Solar System.
- 2. We are not the center of the Galaxy.
- 3. We are not the center of the Universe.

Our First View

- The first concepts of the Universe were Earth-centered.
- How did we come to this point-Astro 330?
- First recorded cosmology was from the Babylonians.
 - The Universe is a large oyster, and we are inside.
 - But other aspects of their astronomy was advanced.
 - Regularity of astronomy for crop planting, harvesting, and accurate calendars back to the 3800 BC.

http://www.internationalenglish.co.uk courses htm

Our First View

- The Mayans computed the length of year to within a few seconds (0.001%).
- So early humans had a weird mixture of precise calendar astronomy with primitive concept of the Universe and mythological systems incorporating magic.







http://www.mayasites.com/equinox.html

http://ephemeris.com/history/mayan-calendar.jpg



- Greeks were excellent Astronomers
 - Cataloged star positions & brightness.
 - Systematic, quantitative observations.
 - Natural philosophers.
- They observed that the stars, Sun, and planets revolved around the Earth.
- So Earth is center of Universegeocentric cosmology (mostly from Plato and Aristotle).
- Even though other philosophers (Aristarchus) argued for a heliocentric cosmology.
- Perfect Spheres of motion?







The backwards motion is is called retrograde motion.



Motions of Planets



- So, over time the planets seem to move along the ecliptic from west to east over long time periods.
 - This is called *prograde* motion
 - Note that they still rise in the East and set in the West each day. We are referring to their relative motion wrt the stars.
- But once in a while, a planet appears to stop and reverses direction
 - Reverse direction is called *retrograde* motion (east to west).
- · Planets move counter-clockwise (looking down at the north pole)

How can we explain the Planet motion?

But with a *geocentric cosmology* you can't easily explain the retrograde motion of the planets.

Note: perfect circles



Ptolemy (140 AD: `p` is silent)

Took geocentric model with uniform circular motion to introduce the Ptolemaic system, or model, of the Solar System that explained retrograde motion



Ptolemaic system

- Geocentric
- Nice circular motion



Ptolemaic system

Yes, it can explain retrograde motions



Ptolemy's Geocentric Cosmology: Is it a Scientific Theory?

Yes! ... and an accurate one too

- Data: Sun/moon/star motions
- Tentative Model: circular orbits
- Prediction: uniform motion on sky
- New data: retrograde motion
- Refined model: epicycles - explains data!



http://home.comcast.net/~fsteiger/theory.htm

Ptolemaic system

Earth Comparison of the second secon

Ptolemy's Geocentric Cosmology: Is it a Scientific Theory?

Yes! ... and an accurate one too

- Data: Sun/moon/star motions
- Tentative Model: circular orbits
- Prediction: uniform motion on sky
- New data: retrograde motion
- Refined model: epicycles explains data!

Overall system of

the Solar System.

Result: Ptolemaic system (theory)

- Strength: accurate fit of data
- *Weakness*: predictions for new data?



Ť

http://www.tmdrfan.com/rthurlow/ThomasDolby1982.htm

Ptolemaic Problems

- Each planet acted independently of others
- There was no universal rule governing the planets motions.
- Nonetheless, for a 1000 years this model ruled western thought
- However, by the late middle-ages astronomers felt that it was too complex, and a search began for a system with simple underlying principles



http://gbgm-umc.org/umw/bible/images/ptolmai2.jpg

Question



In the Ptolemaic system, if a new planet was found, then

- a) its orbit could be quickly found based on the other planets.
- b) one could predict its epicycle and deferent.
- c) one could figure out an epicycle and deferent to make the orbit work.
- d) one could predict the location of other unknown planets.
- e) it would have broken the Ptolemaic system.

Question

Ì

The main problem with an Earth centered Solar System are the retrograde motions of planets. It was solved by

- a) Allowing the planets to move on orbits on orbits.
- b) Moving the Earth off the center a little bit.
- c) Perfectly circular orbits.
- d) Elliptical orbits.
- e) Superman making the Earth turn backwards.

Lessons: Were the Greeks Stupid?

Ì

• Not at all!

Developed sophisticated, successful model

• But built in prejudices about the world not just geocentric but egocentric

What about scientists today? Still can fool ourselves! (And have!) But: *scientific method* is tool:

- To keep from fooling yourself
- To correct yourself when you have



http://www.farhorizon.com/europe/images/images-greece/head_of_Greek_god.jpg

Lessons: Were the Greeks Stupid?

My guess:

- 70% of the material in this course will stand the test of time
- Compare baseball: 70% success is very good
- But also: 30% of course is wrong/ incomplete!
 - Which 30%? Don't know! Would fix it if we knew! So
 - You have to learn all of it!



http://sportsillustrated.cnn.com/baseball/mlb/specials/spring_training/2007/previews/cubs.html

Power of Ignorance

- Geocentric model was absorbed by Christianity.
- If Geocentric, then of course no ET life
- St. Augustine (420 AD) listed science as a temptation to and find out"
- Also said. "Nor do I care to know the course of the stars."



http://www.flholocaustmuseum.org/history_wing/assets/room1/St._Augustine.jpg

Power of Ignorance



- The European worldview degenerated for years.
- No one in Europe mentioned the supernova of 1054 (Crab Nebula), unlike China or Americas. People were afraid to notice it and be described as a heretic
- Could an ET civilization reach technology with that sort of attitude?

http://www.pbs.org/deepspace/timeline/tl14.html





nttp://www.godandscience.org/images/crabnebula.in

incorporated Neo-Platonism. He avoid: "a mere itch to experience

Copernicus (1540) resurrected the heliocentric model

NICOLAI COPERNICI net, in quo terram cum orbe lunari tanquam epicyclo contineri diximus, Quinto loco Venus nono menfe reducitur, Sextum denice locum Mercurius tenet, octuaginta dierum ípacio circu currens, In medio uero omnium relider Sol. Quis enim in hoc





- If Earth moves, then stars have to be very far away.
- Was rejected on theological and philosophical grounds.
- 1616, the Catholic Church listed it as heresy.



Giordano Bruno



- Took this one step further.
- Thought that the stars were all little Suns.
- Possibly with planets of their own.
- Maybe life on those other planets.
- Maybe more advanced than those on Earth.





Giordano Bruno

- These are some of the reasons why he was tortured then burned at the stake around 1600.
- One of his crimes of heresy: "Claiming the existence of a plurality of worlds and their eternity."
- He became a martry for freethought in the 19th century.





Copernican Theory

- Can explain retrograde motion
- Much simpler
- Still kept to circular motion
- Eventually changed the way we think of ourselves!



Copernicus (1540) Heliocentric Model

BUT, keep in mind that the geocentric model was still valid. Both models explained the observed motion.

Heliocentric is NOT obvious!

IT was determined a philosophical argument for 50 years! New observations were required to determine which is correct. NICOLAI COPERNICI net, in quo terram cum orbe lunari tanquam epicyclo contineri diximus, Quinto loco Venus nono menfe reducitur., Sextum

diximus, Quinto loco V enus nono interite recurrent, jo contra denice locum Mercurius tenet, octuaginta dierum spacio circu currens, In medio ucro omnium relider Sol, Quis enim in hoc





Accurate measurements to about 1 minute of arc (1/15 the diameter of the moon). <u>No telescopes!</u>



Johannes Kepler (1600)

Ì

- Tycho's assistant in Prague
- After Tycho's death, succeeded Tycho's position and had access to the excellent data
- How to fit the Heliocentric model to accurate data of Mars?
- Circles didn't work.
- Ellipses!



Kepler's 1st Law: Orbits of planets are ellipses with the Sun at one focus Blue line length: Total length: aphelion axis bound of the sun axis boun

Jinor

Semi-major axis

а







Implications

New Twist– even the Sun isn't at the center of the solar system now. How does that change our view of the Universe and our place in it?



http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap010101.html

Galileo (1609) 400 Years!



First to systematically use the telescope (but did not invent it).

- Moon has mountains and valleys
- Milky Way consists of faint stars
- Saturn is elongated
- Venus shows phases
- Jupiter has moons (now called Galilean moons)

Wow! Big stuff. The moons of Jupiter did not orbit the Earth!



The Phases of Venus



Galileo (1610)

Ì

- Disproved Ptolemaic system
- Rome bullied him into recanting (cleared in 1992)
- Now we understand the motions and the fact that the solar system MUST be Heliocentric, but now we need a reason why?
- Need something with predictive power.



Isaac Newton

- Gave us a reason why--GRAVITY.
- Developed fundamental laws of nature.
- Now, we had a reason why the Solar System objects moved.
- And we could predict new objects!

Big Questions for f_c

- Our capacity for interstellar communication arose at the same time as our interest in it. Coincidence?
- Can a society have a highly developed technology with an incorrect astronomy?
- What if the skies were constantly cloudy?
- What if their solar system had no other planets?
- What if they lived in a molecular cloud?
- What if they lived in a huge cluster of galaxies?

f_c Your Guess!



- Given that an intelligent civilization exists, what is the likelihood that it can (technologically advanced) and will want to (knows astronomy and thinks that its chances are good) communicate?
- Cultural evolution to <u>technology</u> and <u>worldview</u> are essential components of f_c
 - Extra-somatic storage of info crucial.
 - Technology and innovation- quantum mechanics
 - Copernican revolution played an important role.
 - ET has to realize that they are not the center of the Universe and that there might be other life.
 - How fast were these accomplishments? What is fast?

f_c **Development**

Ì

- Are we typical?
- Is it inevitable $f_c = 100\%$ or a fluke 1/10000?
- Remember civilizations come and go, but in general the gains (technology/worldview) aren't lost.
- Picked up by the next civilization.
- Even if one civilization goes dark for centuries, eventually another rekindles the technology/worldview.
- We are talking about the ability to communicate, not that the civilization is communicating.

Drake Equation

That's 8.2 x ? Communicating life/century











$N = R_* \times f_p \times n_e \times f_1 \times f_i \times f_c \times L$

# of advanced civilizations we can contact in our Galaxy today	Star formation rate	Fraction of stars with planets	# of Earthlike planets per system	Fraction on which life arises	Fraction that evolve intelligence	Fraction that commun- icate	Lifetime of advanced civilizations
	9 stars/ yr	0.29 systems/ star	1.03 x 0.22 = 0.23 planets/ system	0.46 life/ planet	0.3 intel./ life	? comm./ intel.	yrs/ comm.

Lifetime of Civilization



- If a civilization can communicate with other life forms, and wants to, how long can it last?
- We are taking about the long haul here, not necessarily short time scales.



Lifetime of Civilization



- This factor pulls a lot of weight in the Drake equation. Are we alone or are there aliens everywhere?
- Easy to envision 4 cases:
 - 1. Communication efforts stop. Bored with lack of success or funding issues.
 - 2. Civilization evolves away from interest or capability. But empires rise and fall.
 - 3. Technological civilization collapses: exhaustion of resources and population growth,
 - 4. Catastrophe! Nuclear war or various natural problems.



- Are you scared? Should you be?
- Exploration of the most dangerous topics in the Universe, such as meteors, supernovae, gamma-ray bursts, rogue black holes, colliding galaxies, quasars, and the end of the Universe, to name just a few.
- A fun class that does not require any prereqs, except an open mind!
- Counts as a Physical Sciences course.



Issues

- The last 2 items:
 - Technological civilization collapses
 - Catastrophe
- Could be caused by:
 - Resource Exhaustion
 - Population growth
 - Nuclear war
 - Natural catastrophe
 - Other...



ttp://gawain.membrane.com/hew/Japan/Hirosh.html

1. Depletion of Resources

- Modern life depends on metals and rare elements.
- Recycling can delay the depletion.
- Pollution of our water or air supply is still a problem.
- <u>But</u>, many of these issues can be solved with sufficient *energy*.



http://www.timboucher.com/portfolio/eat-energy.jpg

1. Depletion of Resources

- Energy allows us to recycle, remove salt from the oceans, grow more crops, and generally convert material into the form we need.
- So, energy is our greatest concern.
- Remember that energy is not depleted, rather converted from useable form to less useable form (2nd law of Thermodynamics).



http://europa.eu.int/comm/mediatheque/photo/select/energy/p-009892-00-8h.jpg

Energy

- Majority from chemical means– fossil fuels– electricity and gasoline (92% in the U.S.).
- Really are from fossils, representing millions of years of life.
- And how are we spending it?
- The average US citizen uses twice that of a European, and 5 times the world average.



^{1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000} Petroleum products supplied is used as an approximation for consumption. Cordae oil and natival gas plant liquids productor. Source: Energy Information Administration, Annual Energy Review 2007– Table 5.1. (June 2008)



http://www.orps.state.ny.us/sas/graphics/oilwells.jpg

http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/energy_in_brief/foreign_oil_dependence.cfm

Energy

- Easy to obtain fossil fuels should last 50-100 yrs, coal 300-600 yrs.
- We will have to change! But US spending on renewable energy sources dropped by factor of 10 in the 1980s.
- SUVs do not help.





http://www.orps.state.ny.us/sas/graphics/oilwells.jpg

http://www.astrosurf.org/lombry/Documents/windfarm.jpg



http://www.dealerimpact.com/downloads/desktop_imgs/800x600-hummer.jpg

Nuclear Fission

- Breaking apart heavy (heavier than iron) unstable elements into lighter ones. Like an Un-Sun.
- Most widely used is ²³⁵Uformed from supernovae- so limited amount on Earth.
- Supplies are limited and length of use controversial.



http://library.thinkquest.org/17940/texts/images/chainreactionanim.gif





http://www.capefeare.com/seasonone.php

Nuclear Fission

- A large reactor power plant uses 26 tons of fuel and 25 tons of waste per year.
- What do we do with the waste?
- How to prevent accidents: Three Mile Island or Chernobyl?



http://www.ourtimelines.com/hist/chernobyl.jpg

Nuclear Fusion

Í

- What the Sun does for energy- $H \Rightarrow He$.
- Requires high density and temperature.
- How to contain it on Earth– Sun uses gravity.
- Put the Sun in a box, but how to build that box?



http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap051109.html http://www.cnn.com/SHOWBIZ/9712/24/teletubbies/ http://www.pppl.gov/fusion_basics/pics/fusion_dt_reaction.jpg





Nuclear Fusion

- Magnetic confinement, but not easy.
- Research continues, but unlikely to play a large role in the next 50 yrs.
- And on Earth requires deuterium (heavy hydrogen) not as abundant as hydrogen, nonetheless very promising!





http://www.ipp.mpg.de/ippcms/eng/pr/exptypen/tokamak/magnetspulen/index.html

Long-Lived Civilizations

- Require renewable energy supplies, all Sun related.
- Hydroelectric (requires rain), windmills (winds), and solar power.
- Solar power is used today, but currently expensive because of manufacturing and tax subsidies for fossil fuels.
- Future example, could imagine a power plant that completely surrounds the Sun– e.g. Dyson sphere.



http://capnhack.deviantart.com/art/Dyson-Sphere-11008136

Pollution from Civilization

- Ozone layer (O₃) is formed from O₂
 O₂ broken up by ultraviolet light
- Ozone protects life against harmful Sun rays.

• Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)

destroy the ozone.

ANTACICIC CODE HOL



http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/paleo/globalwarming/images/ozone.gif

Pollution from Civilization

- CFCs were used in A/C and refrigeration.
- Governments did not do much until a large hole appeared over Antarctica and N. America.
- Finally, being phased out, but the CFCs take about 20 yrs to reach stratosphere.
- The problem was predicted 25 years ago.



ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE PHOTO COURTESY OF NASA.



http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/stratosphere/sbuv2to/gif_files/sbuv16_nh_latest.gif http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/paleo/globalwarming/images/ozone.gif

Global Warming

- Burning of fossil fuels releases CO₂.
- This is a greenhouse gas.
- Humans add more CO₂ to the atmosphere (50-100x) than natural sources– 25 billion tons each year!



 $http://www.climatechange.gc.ca/english/climate_change/images/ghg_effect_lg_e.jpg$

Question

Although depletion of resources is a major issue for long term survivability of an advanced civilization, resource depletion really highlights a larger issue,

- a) energy.
- b) population growth.
- c) global warming.
- d) pollution.
- e) asteroids.

Global Warming

- Why hasn't the temperature rise been more dramatic?
- The burning of coal releases sulfates form a haze that increases the albedo of Earth.
- So the effect is less than expected, but predictions suggest that CO₂ content will begin to dominate in this century.
- Already, large slabs of the Antarctica ice shelf have melted.



Destruction of Larsen ice shelf 2002. 3250 km² over 35 days. That's bigger than Rhode Island! Existed for at least 400yrs maybe 12,000yrs.

http://www-nsidc.colorado.edu/iceshelves/larsenb2002/animation.html